

"Suggested Procedure to Contribute to Sustainable Building and Value Engineering Achievement" Riham Elshafei¹, Hesham Basiouny², Hossam El Din Hosny³

1. Civil Department, Faculty of Engineering, Alexandria University, Egypt.

2. Department of Construction and Building Engineering, Arab Academy for Science Technology and Maritime Transport, Alexandria, Egypt.

3. Department of Construction Engineering and Utilities, Zagazig University, Egypt.

الملخص : غالبا يتخذ اصحاب الاعمال في ادارة المشروعات احدي المنهجين في ادارة مشروعاتهم و هي اما الحد من المصروفات مع الحفاظ علي مستوي الكفاءة الوظيفية للنشاطات المختلفة اللازمة لسير المشروع وهو ما يسمي(Value Engineering), او تخليق مشروع صديق للبيئة وغالبا يتم اهمال الجانب المادي في هذه الانواع من المشروعات. ومن هنا يجب التعامل مع المشروعات بمنظومة جديدة تتبع كلا من المنهجين السابقين في نفس الوقت (توفير مصروفات مع الابقاء علي الكفاءة الوظيفية للنشاطات المختلفة و يالبا يتم اهمال الجانب البيئة). تطبيق wate gait من المواقية علي مالا مع المقاومة علي المنابقين في نفس الوقت تطبيق تعاول من البحث.

Abstract:

Almost Building Owner's take in their project management procedure one of two concepts; Either deliver products meeting three criteria simultaneously, i.e., products of lower cost with improved quality and performance standards (which called Value Engineering) or increasing the efficiency with which buildings and their sites use energy, water, and materials, and reducing building impacts on human health and the environment over the entire life cycle of the building (which called Sustainability) and here Owners can neglect cost from their thoughts.

In our research we can suggest a procedure to combine both of Value Engineering and Sustainability aims to produce a product with low cost, high performance with more sustainability; Exploring of material selection can be considered on Value Engineering Methodology to contribute more sustainable building.

Keywords: Construction Industrial, Value Engineering, Sustainable Building, Material Selection on Value Engineering, Material Selection on Sustainability.

Introduction

The concept of sustainable building incorporates and integrates a variety of strategies during the design, construction and operation of building projects. The use of green building materials and products represents one important strategy in the design of a building.

Building and construction activities worldwide consume 3 billion tons of raw materials each year or 40 percentage of total global use (*Roodman and Lenssen, 1995*). Using green building materials and products promotes conservation of dwindling nonrenewable resources internationally. In addition, integrating green building materials into building projects can help reduce the environmental impacts associated with the extraction, transport, processing, fabrication, installation, reuse, recycling, and disposal of this building industry source, Material selection is one of main sustainability principle and depends on seven criteria in that process to achieve green building benefits and stockholder's requirements (economic, environmental and social).

In the other hand Value, engineering came into existence around World War II as a strategic methodology to be used to deliver products meeting three criteria simultaneously, i.e., products of lower cost with improved quality and performance standards.

Miles (1947) was the original initiator of the value engineering (VE) process and methodology. This technique has been widely applied in various fields including manufacturing, transport, and construction. Special emphasis has been placed on VE by Federal agencies which have proposed and developed procedures that require mandatory use of VE in highway construction over \$25 million dollars(*FHWA*, *1997*), which may be increased to \$50 million dollars (*FHWA*, *2013*).Specifically, it is proposed that Title 23 of United States Code of Federal Regulations Part 627 to contain a mandate requiring State Departments of Transportation to conduct a minimum of one (1) VE study for each federally funded project on National Highway Systems (NHS) costing \$50 million or more for highway projects and \$40 million (originally \$20 million under FHWA, 1997)for bridge projects. Further, Section 106 requires State Departments of Transportation to establish a program that reduces cost and improves quality of project, promote innovation, remove design elements that are unreasonably costly and ensure efficient investments by calling for VE studies (*Project Development Procedures Manual Chapter 19, 2013*).

However, Value engineering itself with its techniques can achieve partially Sustainability goals or benefits, and in our thesis we will develop that technique to obtain sustainability goals by take in consideration all criteria can affect material selection and then produce a green building, And whereas VE is highly efficient on design stage from construction phases, also design efficiency is one of sustainability principles, so design method alternatives and material selective criteria for several activities in the project should be considered on integration with each other on value engineering Methodology development.

Research problem

Value Engineering does not express for Sustainability rather relation between Sustainability and VE is not clear; We mostly increase cost to obtain a sustainable building which is walking in opposite with Value engineering main goal.

"Quality, cost and performance are the most important considerations when building owners make decisions (*Jariti &Zergodi, 2008*)"; VE is necessary to obtain that requirements.

And whereas the growth and development of our communities has a large impact on our natural environment. The manufacturing, design, construction, and operation of the buildings in which we live and work are responsible for the consumption of many of our natural resources, So Green building, or sustainable design is necessary to obtain social, economic and Environmental benefits.

The combination between VE, Sustainability is a new area has not enough study; on that thesis; this area will be existed to obtain of both VE and Sustainability benefits with the same procedure.

Objective & Scope

The main objective of this research is how to develop a methodology for combination between VE& Sustainability by Exploring material selection for more sustainable building. Which will be done through:

- 1- How to develop Value engineering methodology to obtain sustainable building in additional to value engineering goals as these goals (quality, cost and performance) could be considered as a part from sustainability benefits (Social, economic and environmental)
- 2- Material Selection regarding to the criteria of green material selective will be considered during design phase to obtain the developed methodology and process of Value Engineering.

Research Methodology

Literature review for both of Value engineering and Sustainable building

- General background about sustainability and how much we need that days and coming generation.
- Sustainability Benefits, Sustainability principles and methodology.
- General background about Value engineering history.
- The Value Engineering methodology and process in original case without sustainability consideration.
- Material selection in Sustainability and Material selection in Value engineering.
- The criteria, which will be considered during Value Engineering methodology development concerning
- Qualitative research method and applicability.

Design process to contribute sustainable building using VE methodology by material selection exploring

Developing the VE methodology take in consideration material selection criteria for sustainability starting from Evaluation and Selection phase.

Using Delphi technique to

- First: Confirm/ adjust the main criteria affecting the selection of material
- Second: Confirm the Suitable stage for Sustainability consideration on VE Methodology
- Third: Evaluate its weight using AHP as per the expected importance due to
 - a- Delphi technique and his advantages and suitability
 - b- Form of checklist will be developed to get feedback from the experts' individual.
 - c- Review and classified the results for experts in previous step and check compatibility with each other, if not; recycle will take place with first step result updating till finalization with one result.

Conclusion and Expectation from research, also points may need more study in future.

Literature Review

Green Building importance and benefits

The growth and development of our communities has a large impact on our natural environment. The manufacturing, design, construction, and operation of the buildings in which we live and work are responsible for the consumption of many of our natural resources.



Fig.1 Sustainable Triad for Eco-Village

The main elements of sustainable development, as defined by "DETR" are:

Environmental Benefits by enhance and protect biodiversity and ecosystems, improve air and water quality, reduce waste streams and Conserve and restore natural resources

Economic Benefits by Reduce operating costs, improve occupant productivity, enhance asset value and profits and Optimize life-cycle economic performance

Social Benefits by Enhance occupant health and comfort, improve indoor air quality, minimize strain on local utility infrastructure and Improve overall quality of life.

Green building principles

Six principles for green buildings as Design efficiency, Energy efficiency, Water efficiency, Materials efficiency, Indoor Air Quality and Waste reduction; optimization of one is enough to produce sustainable building.

Material selective criteria on Sustainability

Seven criteria for sustainable material selection.

- 1. Is the material effective in your conditions?
- 2. Is the material healthy and safe?
- 3. Is the material durable and easily maintained?
- 4. Is the material used efficiently?
- 5. Is the material available in your area, and can contractors work with it?
- 6. Is the material cost-effective?
- 7. Is the material aesthetically satisfying to you?

Value Engineering importance and benefits

Value engineering is a creative, organized approach, whose objective is to optimize cost and/or performance of a facility. in other words, VE is a management.

Value Engineering Methodology and process



Fig.2 VE methodology



Fig3 work plan study

Design of Suggested Procedure to Contribute to Sustainable Building and Value Engineering Achievement





Cost/ worth Proiect Items



| 4 | Architectural | |
|---|---------------|--|
| 6 | Architectural | |
| 7 | Electrical | |
| 9 | Mechanical | |



Summary of Potential Sustainability Benefits

| Discipline | Original | Proposed | Social benefits | Economic Benefits | Environmental Benefits |
|---------------|----------|----------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Structural | | | | | |
| Architectural | | | | | |
| Mechanical | | | | | |
| Electrical | | | | | |
| Equipment | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | |

Delphi Technique

Characteristics of the Delphi Technique

The Delphi technique is well suited as a means and method for consensus-building by using a series of questionnaires to collect data from a panel of selected subjects (*Dalkey & Helmer, 1963; Dalkey, 1969; Linstone & Turoff, 1975; Lindeman, 1981; Martino, 1983; Young & Jamieson, 2001*). Delphi, in contrast to other data gathering and analysis techniques, employs multiple iterations designed to develop a consensus of opinion concerning a specific topic. Ludwig (1994) indicates

The Delphi Process

Round 1; In the first round, the Delphi process traditionally begins with an open-ended questionnaire, **Round 2**; In the second round, each Delphi participant receives a second questionnaire and is asked to review the items summarized by the investigators based on the information provided in the first round. Accordingly, **Round 3**; In the third round, each Delphi panelist receives a questionnaire that includes the items and ratings summarized by the investigators in the previous round and are asked to revise his/her judgments or "to specify the reasons for remaining outside the consensus", **Round 4**; In the fourth and often final round, the list of remaining items, their ratings, minority opinions,

Subject of questions

- 1- Are the seven criteria for material selection mentioned on the research sufficient to contribute sustainable building?
- 2- Is the stage of material selection suitable on VE process as it is on Evaluation and selective stage?
- 3- Is The weight of criteria having relation with the nature of project?
- 4- For residential building, paired weight for criteria is required.

Statistics Analysis for result using AHP

AHP can be used to Decompose the decision-making problem into a hierarchy, make pair wise comparisons and establish priorities among the elements in the hierarchy, synthesize judgments (to obtain the set of overall or weights for achieving your goal) and Evaluate and check the consistency of judgements.



| This step is to normalize the matrix by <u>totaling the numbers in each column.</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|--|
| Each entry in the column is then divided by the column sum to yield its | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | norm | nalized s | core. The | e sum of | each co | lumn is | 1. | | | |
| | | A | в | С | D | E | F | G | н | |
| | 6 | C5 | (1.00) | 5.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | um (B10 |):F10) |
| | 7 | Total | 3.48 | 25.00 | 6.14 | 4.20 | 4.20 | | | |
| | 8 | | | | | | | | =AVEF | AGE(G10/5) |
| | 9 | Factor | C1 | C2 | СЗ | C4 | C5 | Total | Average | X |
| | 10 | C1 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.49 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 1.53 | 0.31 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| | 11 | C2 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.04 | ecto |
| | 12 | 1= C3 | 0.10 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 1.01 | 0.20 | ity v |
| | 13 | C4 | 0.29 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 1.13 | 0.23 | rma |
| | 14 | C5 | 0,29 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 1.13 | 0.23 | |
| | | | | | | | _ | Highest a | verage | |
| | | =(| B6/B7) | =(C6/ | $c_{\mathcal{O}}$ | | | scor | e 2- | |



Application AHP on our Responses

Round 1

- Contact with fifteen participants to get their answer/ opening regarding to the four required subjects.
- AHP statistics analysis by Excel sheet used to Evaluate and check the consistency of judgements *Khwanruthai BUNRUAMKAEW (D3) Division of Spatial Information Science*

Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences University of Tsukuba, (March 1st, 2012)

- All participant questionnaires are perfectly consistent, as the consistency index almost < 0.1 (range from 0.02 up to 0.09), so we didn't need for another round.



- The final weighted comparison matrix concluded from questionnaire result is perfectly consistent; as the consistency index = 0.05 where < 0.1

| | | fore import | ance then | Ea | 1101 | L acc imr | ortonaa t | han | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----------|---------|-------|------|------------|----------|
| | 0 0 | 7 6 | | 2 1 | 1/2 | 1/2 1/4 1/5 | 1/6 1/7 | 1/0 1/0 | | | C 1 | CI =0.05 |
| air wise comparison | 9 8 | γ 6 Δ | R R | 2 / | D | F F | F | 6 | | | CI BI | |
| | A | 1 | 1/2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | C Batic | |
| | в | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1/2 | 1 | | | | |
| | с | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | | | (|
| | D | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | | | | |
| | E | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| | F | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1/2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | | | |
| | G | 1 | 1 | 1/2 | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | | | | |
| | Total | 8.00 | 7.50 | 6.50 | 6.50 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 9.00 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Consis | |
| Normalization | | A | в | С | D | E | F | G | Total | AVG | Mea | |
| | A | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.90 | 0.13 | | |
| | В | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 1.02 | 0.15 | | |
| | c | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 1.07 | 0.15 | | |
| | D | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.29 | 0.11 | 1.11 | 0.16 | | |
| | E | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.96 | 0.14 | | |
| | E | 0.13 | 0.27 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 1.13 | 0.16 | | |
| | G | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.81 | 0.12 | | |
| | _ | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| onsistency analysis | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Random | ndex (RI) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | . The Cl | of randomly | -generatte | ed oair wis | se compa | rison matri | ix | | | | | |
| | n | 1 | 2 | з | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 | |
| | B1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.9 | 1 12 | 1 24 | 1 22 | 1.41 | 1.45 | 1. | |

Result

- 1. The seven criteria for material are sufficient for material selection
 - A. Is the material effective in your conditions
 - B. Is the material healthy and safe
 - C. Is the material durable and easily maintained
 - D. Is the material used efficiently
 - E. Is the material available in your area, and can contractors work with it
 - F. Is the material cost-effective

G. Is the material aesthetically satisfying to you

- 2. Evaluation and selection phase on VE procedure is the suitable stage to start sustainable phase by material selection process
- 3. The weight of criteria has relation with the nature of project
- 4. Final criteria weight matrix is as below



Conclusion

- 1- We can proceed on one procedure to achieve VE goals & contribute more sustainable building by Exploring material selection.
- 2- Evaluation Selection stage on value Engineering Methodology is the most efficient stage to explore sustainable material selection as we are ensuring from cost saving on previous stage.
- 3- The Seven criteria for sustainable material selection are the base on weighted criteria matrix on Evaluation phase for available alternatives VE process to contribute sustainable building.
- 4- That seven criteria for material selection can completely fulfill sustainability benefits and can partially fulfill VE benefits.... Figure 4

| | Material selection criteria | Sustainability Benefits compliance | Value engineering Goals compliance |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | Is the material effective in your conditions | Social Benefit | Performance development |
| 2 | Is the material healthy and safe | Environmental Benefit | |
| 3 | Is the material durable and easily maintained | Economic Benefit | Cost reduction |
| 4 | Is the material used efficiently | Economic and social Benefits | Cost reduction, increase quality |
| 5 | Is the material available in your area, and can contractors work with it | Economic and social Benefits | Cost reduction |
| 6 | Is the material cost-effective | Economic Benefit | Cost reduction |
| 7 | Is the material aesthetically satisfying to you | Social Benefit | |

Figure 4. Compliance sheet between for Sustainability benefits and Value Engineering Goals with material selection criteria

- 5- Value Engineering Goals are included on Sustainability benefits but the verse is not applicable
- 6- Nature of project has effect on weight paired matrix for criteria.
- 7- Cost has the highest score and weight compared with other six criteria

References:

Roodman and Lenssen, 1995 FHWA, 1997, 2013 Project Development Procedures Manual Chapter 19, Jariti &Zergodi, 2008 Sustainable construction BRIEF 2014 Green building materials MedLab Green Buildings Living Lab Importance Of Green Building.mht Institute For Sustainable Infrastructure (ISI) Welcome.mht Khwanruthai BUNRUAMKAEW (D3) Division of Spatial Information Science Graduate School of Life and Environmental

Sciences

University of Tsukuba, (March 1st, 2012)